



Christopher A. Hart
Vice Chairman

Some Future Concerns

- Pilot professionalism
 - Loss of military pipeline
 - Civilian filters inadequate
 - Recent troubling events
- Overzealous criminalization of accidents
 - Undercuts proactive information programs
 - Hinders investigations
 - Reduces likelihood of addressing system issues
- Increasing automation



Increasing Automation: Good News, Bad News

- More complexity increases likelihood that operators will not completely understand the system
- More reliability increases likelihood that operators have never seen a given malfunction before, even in training
- Automation often masks the problem of less proficient pilots – until something goes wrong



Examples

- Strasbourg, France (1992)
 - Cali, Colombia (1995)
- Amsterdam, Holland (2009)
 - Rio to Paris (2009)
- San Francisco (2013)?



Strasbourg, France

– Risk Factors

- Night, mountainous terrain
- No ground radar
- No ground-based glideslope guidance
- No airborne terrain alerting equipment



– Very Sophisticated Autopilot

– Autopilot Mode Ambiguity



Human Factors Challenge

- “3.2” in the window, *with a decimal*, means:
 - Descend at a 3.2 degree angle (about 700 fpm at 140 knots)
- “32” in the window, *without a decimal*, means:
 - Descend at 3200 fpm

*Clue: Quick Changes in Autopilot Mode
Frequently Signal a Problem*

*Flight data recorder readout program could have
helped safety experts identify this problem*



Cali, Colombia

– Risk Factors

- Night
- Airport in deep valley
- No ground radar
- Airborne terrain alerting limited to “look-down”
- Last minute change in approach
 - More rapid descent (throttles idle, spoilers)
 - Hurried reprogramming

– Navigation Radio Ambiguity

– Spoilers Do Not Retract With Power



Recommended Remedies:

- Operational
 - *Caution re last minute changes during the approach!!*
- Aircraft/Avionics
 - Enhanced ground proximity warning system
 - Spoilers that retract with max power
 - Require confirmation of non-obvious changes
 - Unused or passed waypoints remain in view
- Infrastructure
 - Eliminate single-letter navigational radio identifiers
 - Ground-based radar
 - Improved reporting of, and acting upon, safety issues



Amsterdam, Holland

– The Conditions

- Malfunctioning left radar altimeter
- Pilots selected right side autopilot
- Aircraft vectored above glideslope
- Autothrust commanded throttles to idle
- Unknown to pilots, right autopilot used left radar altimeter
- Pilot unsuccessfully attempted go-around



– Queries:

- Should autopilot default to same side altimeter?
- More clarity re source of information? Ability to select?



Rio to Paris

– The Conditions

- Cruise, autopilot engaged
- Night, in clouds, turbulence, coffin corner
- Ice blocked pitot tubes
- Autopilot and autothrust inoperative without airspeed
- Alpha protections disabled
- Pilots' responses inappropriate



– Queries:

- Pilot training re loss of airspeed information in cruise?
- Importance of CRM – pilot knowing other pilot's actions?
- Pilot training re manual flight at cruise altitude?



Conclusion

- Automation has significantly improved safety, reliability, and productivity
- We can and must address more effectively the human/machine interface challenges of increasingly complex and increasingly reliable automation



Thank You

Questions?



National Transportation Safety Board